

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,971	02/19/2002	James O. Schreckengast	10014432-1	7508	
7590 08/22/2006			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HOLMES, MICHAEL B		
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2121		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/078,	971	SCHRECKENGAST ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Michael	B. Holmes	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONT polication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this control of the con				
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	tb)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matte	<u>-</u>	e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are Claim(s) 21-23 and 25 is/are allowed Claim(s) 17-20 & 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object of the Order	withdrawn from continuous tion and/or election examiner. a) accepted or the drawing(s) the correction is required.	requirement. D) objected to be held in abeyand if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo-1449 or Formula Date (PTO-1449) e No(s)/Mail Date 04142006	FO-948) PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/078,971

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

1. Claims 1-16 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. The invention as disclosed in claims 17-20 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Specifically, the method of claim 17 identifying a stock, identifying causes, identifying subcauses, identifying diagnosis, matching diagnosis, estimating probabilities, and estimating cost are acts that can simply be conveyed intellectually. This implies that the method of claim 17 does not appear to posses any active steps needing to take place beyond thinking about the process without actually applying the process to a real-world practical application. Thus, claims 17-20 appears to be directed to an abstract idea rather than a practical application of an abstract idea which would produce a "useful, concrete or tangible results."

Application/Control Number: 10/078,971

Art Unit: 2121

patent eligibility.

5. The system of claim 24 possess the same problem of claim17 with one additional element i.e., the claim constitutes software a module devoid of any apparent hardware, and therefore are computer programs e.g., functional descriptive material. Moreover, since the computer programs are not embodied on an appropriate computer-readable storage medium. They cannot be afforded

Page 3

6. Furthermore, the claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application i.e., it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." As per claims 17-20 & 24, of which, is silent regarding a practical application, and thus, is insufficient to establish a real world "tangible" result. Devoid of such it qualifies applicant's claimed invention as an abstract idea e.g., a computational model or a mathematical manipulation of a function or equation, or whatever, as such, a process that merely manipulates an abstract idea or performs a purely mathematical algorithm is non-statutory despite the fact that it might inherently have some usefulness. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d at 1335, 200 USPQ at 139, the court explained why this approach must be followed:

No mathematical equation can be used, as a practical matter, without establishing and substituting values for the variables expressed therein. Substitution of values dictated by the formula has thus been viewed as a form of mathematical step. If the steps of gathering and substituting values were alone sufficient, every mathematical equation, formula, or algorithm having any practical use would be per se subject to patenting as a "process" under 101. Consideration of whether the substitution of specific values is enough to convert the disembodied ideas present in the formula into an embodiment of those ideas, or into an application of the formula, is foreclosed by the current state of the law.

7. A claim is limited to a practical application when the method or system, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result; i.e., the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT &T, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2d at

Art Unit: 2121

1452. See MPEP § 2106(IV) Applicant is advised to make the appropriate corrections in an attempt to gain patentability. The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Remember, the claims define the property rights provided by a patent, and thus require careful scrutiny. Therefore, it is not enough to set forth invention in the specification. Finally, the claims must also reflect the scope and breath of applicant's invention. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551(CCPA 1969).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 21-23 & 25 are allowed.

Correspondence Information

9. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,971

Art Unit: 2121

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Michael B. Holmes

Page 5

Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence
Art Unit 2121
United States Department of Commerce
Patent & Trademark Office

Saturday, August 12, 2006

MBH